RIVERSIDE PRIMARY SCHOOL

(Part of the Rainham Mark Education Trust)

MINUTES OF THE STANDARDS AND WELFARE COMMITTEE **HELD ON TUESDAY 13 NOVEMBER 2018**

AT 3.45pm

Present: T Scott (Chair), D Brockman, K Wilmer (Headteacher), H Robson (Deputy H/T)

In attendance: Cheryl Baxter (Clerk)

Item	Main discussions and agreed actions				
	The meeting was quorate.				
1	Welcome and Apologies				
	1.1	Apologies were received and accepted from T Wilson.			
2	Declara	tions of Interest			
	No add	itional declarations were made.			
	2.1	DB would update the school website	DB		
3	Notifica	ation of any other urgent business			
	3.1	H/T: TPS Deputy Headteacher placement			
4	Minute	s of the meeting held on 12 June 2018			
	4.1	Approval for accuracy:			
		The chair signed the minutes as a true record.			
	4.2	Matters arising:			
		Standards were scrutinised by Governors during the LGB meeting in July			
	4.3	and again at the LGB meeting last month			
	4.3	Confidentiality 5.5 This item was no longer regarded as confidential and was released for			
		publication.			
5	Headte	acher's verbal update: Term 1 Data			
	5.1	The monitoring of teaching and learning carried out this term had been			
		good to outstanding and the H/T had seen good progress across the			
		school. Additionally there had been 16 learning walks and formal			
		observations implemented.			
	5.2	The 2 NQTs had settled into their roles and within the team very well.			
		They were being observed by Mentors who kept the H/T updated.			
	5.3	We have 16 specialist provision places currently, an over-subscription of			
		the number of high needs funding suggested by the local authority. This			
		would be discussed with the Head of 0-25 Disability Services from the			
		Local Authority when she attended for a SEND visit next week.			

	5.1	The monitoring of teaching and learning carried out this term had been good to outstanding and the H/T had seen good progress across the			
		school. Additionally there had been 16 learning walks and formal			
		observations implemented.			
	5.2	The 2 NQTs had settled into their roles and within the team very well.			
	They were being observed by Mentors who kept the H/T updated.				
	5.3 We have 16 specialist provision places currently, an over-subscription of				
	the number of high needs funding suggested by the local authority. This				
	would be discussed with the Head of 0-25 Disability Services from the				
	Local Authority when she attended for a SEND visit next week.				
Page 1 of 4					
SIGNED DATED					

	- A	The subset of th	<u> </u>
	5.4	The school continued to grow and several classes were over PAN.	
		Governors asked what were the responses from the recent tours?	
		Between 17 and 20 families had attended and very positive comments	
		had been received. A further two tours were being offered. Governors	
		congratulated the SLT for being excellent ambassadors of the school	
		during the tours. An open afternoon on 21 November was planned for	
		the Nursery before the provision for 2 year old children was explored	
		further.	
	5.5	The wider curriculum continued to be developed and teachers were	
		aware of the progression necessary in their subjects. Children had been	
		very engaged with the Biodome that not only enabled them to access	
		basic skills for the next stage in their lives but had demonstrated their	
		love of learning here.	
	5.6	Anti-bullying week this week and the workshops went well in KS2.	
		Themed weeks enhanced areas of the curriculum.	
6		Data. Deputy H/Ts report.	
	Governo	ors had received and read the Progress and Attainment EYFS to Y5 report	
	prior to	the meeting. They appreciated the effort made by HR to present the	
	informa	ation in a new format of graphs and text making it easier for them to	
	scrutinis	se the information.	
	A copy i	is filed with these minutes and key points were:	
	6.1	Children in the Nursery were very settled and early identification of	
		needs had been made.	
	6.2	Reception classes were a mix of abilities but were making good progress	
		and those with a high need were being supported. Governors asked if	
		there was any noticeable difference between the children that had	
		entered from the nursery here and those from other nurseries. The	
		transition was good for children here and the reading ability was noticed	
		to be higher. What was being done to help the transition for children	
		coming from other nurseries? The school visits other nurseries to see	
		the children there.	
		Would a decision be made in Yr1 to mix up the 2 classes? It was too	
		early to decide and would depend on how those children developed.	
		carry to decide and would depend on now those simulatin developed.	
		Moderation for FS was done here last week with other schools and all of	
		the reception and nursery teachers in the area attended to share	
		evidence. It was seen that moderators in our school had accurate	
		judgements based on evidence from the data.	
		Judgements based on evidence from the data.	
		It was noted that the progress of phonics in the Reception classes had	
		been amazing.	
	6.3	The disadvantaged children in Yr 1 had made 100% progress this year in	
	0.5	reading. Progress was good in both writing and maths and a number of	
		interventions were in place.	
	6.4		
	6.4	Attainment for reading in Yr2 was high for children without EHCPs and	
	C F	was a similar trend throughout this year group.	
	6.5	Yr3 were making good progress and had made 100% progress in all 3	
		areas. Any pre-KS1 children had been flagged up in the ASP (Analyse	
		School Performance) and support was in place. Support was also being	

Page Z of 4			
	DATED		

		provided to narrow the gap between SEND and non-SEND children	
		targeting those with high needs.	
		Governors advised they were reviewing PPG next week and would look	
		at the interventions in place and the effectiveness of the support.	
	6.6	Governors raised that quite a high percentage of the children in Yr4 had	
		achieved above expected in maths and writing but not in reading. To	
		support accuracy of judgements a testing system had been purchased	
		which could be used for gap analysis to identify gaps. Progress for pupil	
		premium children was 100%. A booster teacher was also being used to	
		challenge the more able higher attaining children.	
	6.7		
	0.7	Yr5 progress was good in writing and the White Rose scheme was being	
		followed for maths. A booster teacher was supporting the more able	
		children in the afternoons.	
	6.8	Yr6 data and milestones. Governors received the information prior to the	
		meeting and a copy is filed with the minutes.	
		There were multiple vulnerabilities in this class and in addition to other	
		interventions, maths was being focussed up to Christmas with the	
		introduction of early morning and afternoon sessions three times a week	
		with a booster teacher to narrow the gap against the national standards.	
		Whether to target reading going forward would be reviewed.	
		The SEF and SDP were being updated in line with the data collected.	
		Governors had also received the ASP provisional data 2017/2018 in	
		preparation for the Ofsted visit.	
		TW was carrying out a standards monitoring visit based on the ASP	
		when she would ask teachers challenging questions and report back to	
		Governors. DB would also be in attendance. HR would contact TW to	HR
		arrange a meeting with the SLT to go through the ASP.	
7	Governo	or Visits and monitoring feedback	
,	7.1	Standards monitoring taking place on Monday 19 November.	
	7.2	TW had met with the Inclusions Manager. A report had been done and	Clerk
	7.2	the Clerk would request a copy and post on Governorhub	CIEIK
	7.2		
	7.3	Finance monitoring was programmed for next week.	
0	Calf Day	। view of Governance	
8	1		
	8.1	Governors studied the draft document, produced by DB, in line with the	
		Trust's KPIs and divided into the 6 NGA features of effective governance.	
		On reflection, DB would make minor amendments to the colours coded	
		evidencing actions had been met and post the revised version on	
		Governorhub. Governors commented this was an excellent piece of	
		work.	
	8.2	As a result of the school holding a jobs fayre, the H/T would email the	Н/Т
		attendees offering them the opportunity to consider possibly becoming a	
		school governor. This could potentially address the current vacancies	
	<u> </u>	and meet an action for stakeholder engagement in the Trust's KPIs.	
	8.3	Guidance would be requested from the Trust regarding Parent elections	DB

	8.3	Guidance would be requested from the Tru	st regarding Parent elections	DB		
	Page 3 of 4					
SIGNED			DATED			

			1		
		to ensure consistency in light of the current vacancy.			
9	Training and CPD updated (including Staff)				
	9.1	No additional training had been undertaken.			
10	Any Ot	her Business			
	10.1	TPS Deputy Headteacher placement:			
		To assist with his qualification he had requested to present the BlueSky			
		for appraisals training to Governors. DB agreed to attend and would contact TW.	DB		
11	Confide	fidentiality of discussion			
	11.1	H/T: Staffing update			
12	Review	of meeting/impact of governance			
	12.1	Review conducted as part of item 8			
	Dates o	of next meetings			
	Tuesda	y 12 March 2019 at 3.45pm			
	Thursd	ay 11 July 2019 at 3.45pm			
	The meeting closed at 6pm.				

ACTIONS

Name	Item	Action	By When
D Brockman	2.1	Update the school website re: Declarations of Interests	End Nov.
H Robson	6.8	Contact TW re: ASP meeting	25 Nov.
C Baxter	7.2	Contact TW re: Safeguarding report to be posted on Governorhub	21 Nov.
K Wilmer	8.2	Email the attendees at the Jobs Fayre re: potential school	
		Governors	
D Brockman	8.3	Obtain guidance from the Trust re: consistency of Parent Governor	End Nov.
		elections	
D Brockman	10.1	Contact TW to request her attendance and the Blue Sky training	25 Nov.
		provided by TPS D/HT.	

P	age 4 of 4	
SIGNED		DATED